State Use 06/04/2025

Vendor		Renew Option	Type of Service	PETC	Agency	Address	City	Begin Term	End Term	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value	Approval Y/N/A
	New/Amend											
			Increase request - added									
Ada S McKinley Community			additional 100+ people to									
Services, Inc.	Amendment	No	location	18	CMS L6418	6201 S Emerald	Chicago	06/01/2025	04/23/2027		\$135,632.57	
			Clarification on Welcome									
			Box Amendment from									
SPARC	Amendment		03/05/2025 meeting	20	DCFS	Statewide		06/14/2021	06/13/2031		\$3,000,000.00	
			Call center - answering									
Chicago Lighthouse For People			inbound appointment									
Who Are Blind/Visually Impaired	New	No	request	22	SOS		Chicago	07/01/2025	06/30/2026		\$2,500,000.00	
			Five times per week									
			janitorial services and									
Bridgeway Inc.	New	No	supplies	1	CMS L6699	3561 60th St	Moline	07/01/2025	08/31/2031		\$374,679.09	
			Five times per week									
			janitorial services and									
EP!C	New	No	supplies	3	CMS L6163	406-410 Elm St	Peoria	07/01/2025	04/30/2032		\$580,100.00	
			Five times per week									
Macon Resources, Inc.	New	No	janitorial services	3	CMS L4937	707 E Wood St	Decatur	08/01/2025	07/31/2030		\$372,934.66	
			Five times per week									
Sertoma Star Services, Inc	New	No	janitorial services	1	CMS L5133	1515 E 71st St	Chicago	09/01/2025	04/30/2030		\$133,213.50	
				68						\$0.00	\$7,096,559.82	

****Contracts that are a joint purchase master contract (JPMC), the amount proposed is an estimated spend on the contract. As the contract will be open to all governmental units and qualified not-for-profit agencies, the actual spend may be more or less than what is shown above. ****

On **June 4, 2025**, the State Use Committee met and reviewed the above contract proposals. As a member of the Committee, I have reviewed these proposals and have determined, based on the facts presented, both above and in discussion with the other Committee members and with the State Use staff, that the prices offered are reasonable and would not be substantially more if this procurement were to be competitively bid.