SU FY14 Vote #18 February 7, 2014

Vendor	Region	Renewal/New	Renewal Options	PBC	Type of Service	Individuals Employed	Agency	Address	City	Begin Term	End Term	Annual Contract Value	Total Contract Value	Cost Benefit Review
Bridgeway, Inc	N	R	Y	14-82709	Data Entry/IL1040	25	REV	2323 Windish D	Galesburg	01/01/15	12/31/15	$513,626.64	$513,626.64	
Bridgeway, Inc	N	N	N	14-82599	Data Entry/ST556	12	REV	2323 Windish D	Galesburg	07/01/14	06/30/18	$623,103.74	$1,576,861.54	
Bridgeway, Inc	N	N	N	14-82600	Data Entry/IL1065	10	REV	2323 Windish D	Galesburg	07/01/14	06/30/18	$702,611.38	$1,775,988.89	
Chicago Lighthouse	N				amendment per Mitzi									
Illinois Valley Ind	N	N	N	14-82010	Barricades & Warning Lights	43	CMS	1033 Third Ave	Morris	upon Execution	12/31/16	$120,000.00	$450,000.00	
Sertoma Centre**	N	N	N	13-74104	Rest Area	10	IDOT	Willow Creek	PawPaw	04/01/14	06/30/16	$97,572.00	$292,716.00	
SPARC***	S	N*	Y	N/A	Food Service	5	DNR	1 Natural Resou	Springfield	03/15/14	03/14/17	$0.00	$0.00	
Thresholds	N	R	N	14-82565	Janitorial	7	DHS	1950 W Roosev	Chicago	07/01/14	06/30/15	$185,139.00	$185,139.00	
**I have not received the request letter from IDOT yet, the start date is scheduled for April 1st.														
*** No expense to the State. Need request letter from DNR. SPARC will lease space in DNR building and provide food service for breakfast and lunch.														
N* is a brand new contract					Total	112					Total	$2,242,052.76	$4,794,332.07	

Fair Market Price -- The Illinois Procurement Code requires that qualified sheltered workshops offer a fair market price to state agencies in order to meet specific needs for supplies and services. As not-for-profit organizations, pricing offers developed by workshops are designed to cover costs. In turn, state agencies ensure prices offered by sheltered workshops (often through negotiation) are acceptable (from a budgetary perspective) and are comparable to those paid historically or those paid for similar contracts. When considering contract proposals, the State Use Committee not only takes into account the development process of the pricing offer, but also considers the intent of the State Use program -- to promote employment opportunities for persons with disabilities. Further, under a total cost of ownership model, the Committee considers the fact that if these individuals were not given this opportunity, they would be forced to be supported by other social service programs, thus costing the State additional monies.

On February 7, 2014 the State Use Committee met and reviewed the above contract proposals. As a member of the Committee, I have reviewed these proposals and have determined, based on the facts presented, both above and in discussion with the other Committee members and with the State Use staff, that the prices offered are reasonable and would not be substantially more if this procurement were to be competitively bid. **FAX to Corrie Smith at 217-782-5187**

Signature of Member

Date