State Use Contra		,,, ,,,,,,, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,												Proposed
		Denowal/	Denowal										% Change in	
Vendor	Region	Renewal/ New	Renewal Options	PBC	Type of Service	Individuals Employed	Agency	Address	City	Begin Term	End Torm	Contract Value	Producer Price Index	Increase/Dec rease
Venuoi	Region	INCW	Options	FBC	Service	Linployed	Agency	Address	Centralia, Effingham,	Begin Term		value	Frice muex	16036
									Olney, Flora,					
Clay County									Lawrenceville,					
Rehabilitation								various state	Louisville, Mt. Vernon,	Upon				
Center	S	N*	Yes	12-64464	Recycling	25	CMS	buildings	Salem	Execution	08/31/12	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
									Benton, Marion,					
								various state	Carbondale,	Upon				
H Group	S	N*	Yes	12-64781	Recycling	25	CMS	buildings	Murphysboro	Execution	08/31/12	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
								various state		Upon				
FAYCO	S	N*	Yes	12-64791	Recycling	1	CMS	buildings	Vandalia	Execution	08/31/12	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Career									Maximt Cammad	11				
Development	e e	N*	Yes	40 64700	Desveling	0	СМЅ	various state	Mount Carmel,	Upon	09/24/42	¢0.00	N/A	NI/A
Center	S	N.	res	12-64793	Recycling	8		buildings	Fairfield, Carmi	Execution	08/31/12	\$0.00	IN/A	N/A
N* is a brand new contract					Total	34					Total	\$0.00		

State Use Contracts - FY12 Vote # 7, Aug 24, 2011

Fair Market Price -- The Illinois Procurement Code requires that qualified sheltered workshops offer a fair market price to state agencies in order to meet specific needs for supplies and services. As not-for-profit organizations, pricing offers developed by workshops are designed to cover costs. In turn, state agencies ensure prices offered by sheltered workshops (often through negotiation) are acceptable (from a budgetary perspective) and are comparable to those paid historically or those paid for similar contracts. When considering contract proposals, the State Use Committee not only takes into account the development process of the pricing offer, but also considers the intent of the State Use program -- to promote employment opportunities for persons with disabilities. Further, under a total cost of ownership model, the Committee considers the fact that if these individuals were not given this opportunity, they would be forced to be supported by other social service programs, thus costing the State additional monies.

On August 24, 2011 the State Use Committee met and reviewed the above contract proposals. As a member of the Committee, I have reviewed these proposals and have determined, based on the facts presented, both above and in discussion with the other Committee members and with the State Use staff, that the prices offered are reasonable and would not be substantially more if this procurement were to be competitively bid. **FAX to Corrie Smith at 217-558-1759**

Signature of Member

Date